

PRIVACY TICKER

1. Case Law

+++ ADMINISTRATIVE COURT OF COLOGNE PERMITS ASSEMBLY WITHOUT OBLIGATION TO LIST PARTICIPANTS +++

The Administrative Court of Cologne ruled that permission for an assembly may not be tied to the condition that participants' identities are being recorded (decision of 7 May 2020-7 L 809/20). Due to people being discouraged from attending the assembly by such an obligation, this condition is regarded as disproportionate and unlawful, even taking into account the corona pandemic. The Court also considered that in other cases only a general mask obligation and distance regulations had to be observed which of course also had to be respected at assemblies.

The decision (in German) is published here.

+++ LABOUR COURT OF WESEL: CORONA PANDEMIC DOES NOT JUSTIFY VIDEO SURVEILLANCE IN THE COMPANY WITHOUT WORKS COUNCIL CO-DETERMINATION +++

In a preliminary injunction, the Wesel Labour Court decided that an employer may only use video recordings to verify compliance with distance requirements due to the coronavirus if the works council's co-determination rights are respected (decision of 24 April 2020 – 2 BVGa 4/20). The continuous spread of the coronavirus does not in itself pose such an urgent risk in which postponing the works council's co-determination would be permissible.

The decision (in German) is published <u>here</u>.

2. Regulatory Investigations and Enforcement Actions

+++ ICO FINES AIRLINE FOR MULTIPLE SECURITY BREACHES IN ITS IT SYSTEMS +++

The UK data protection authority (ICO) has fined an international airline in the amount of around EUR 560,000 for shortcomings in the data security of its IT systems, which allowed unauthorised persons to access data of roughly 9.4 million customers. During investigations, the ICO discovered that, *inter alia*, backup copies were not encrypted and a prompt installation of the software patches provided by the manufacturer was at least not documented.

You can find the press release here.

+++ DUTCH DPA HAS FINED UNLAWFUL FINGERPRINT SCANNING FOR WORKING TIME RECORDING +++

The Dutch data protection authority has imposed its highest fine to date in the amount of EUR 725,000 on a company which introduced a system for recording time, using fingerprint scans without the company being able to prove that the employees had given their consent and that the consent was given voluntarily. There was no other applicable legal basis for the use of biometric data.

The press release (in Dutch) is published here.

+++ FINE IMPOSED ON SWEDISH ADMINISTRATIVE AUTHORITY FOR FAILURE TO NOTIFY DATA BREACH IN DUE TIME +++

The data protection authority of Sweden fined a state-owned IT service provider in the amount of roughly EUR 18,700 for not notifying the supervisory authority and data subjects concerned until months after the provider became aware of a security vulnerability in salary administration systems it had offered to customers. The provider had learned about the vulnerability through complaints from individual clients who could access data from other clients, mostly government bodies, for months.

The press release is available here.



3. Opinions

+++ EDPB CLARIFIES: NO VALID CONSENT TO COOKIES IN CASE OF COOKIE WALLS WITHOUT ANY ALTERNATIVES +++

The European Data Protection Board (EDPB) has clarified in its guidelines on consent that consent to cookies is not given voluntarily and is therefore invalid, if access to services is denied in total without the consent. Also, the Board clarifies that scrolling or continued use of the website does not constitute effective consent either.

The updated guidelines can be found here.

+++ GERMAN DPAS APPROVE UNIFIED TEMPLATE FOR PATIENT CONSENT TO DATA USE FOR RESEARCH PURPOSES +++

The German Data Protection Conference noted that it fully approved of the template consent for the use of clinical data for research purposes which was drafted by the Medical Informatics Initiative of the Federal Ministry of Education and Research. The German authorities, thus, accept that the template is used through-out Germany without any state-specific additions.

The template text can be downloaded <u>here</u>. Further information on how to use the template is provided in the document here.

+++ FEDERAL DATA PROTECTION COMMISSIONER: USE OF WHATSAPP EXCLUDED FOR AUTHORITIES +++

In a circular letter to the Federal authorities, the German Federal Commissioner for Data Protection points out that the authorities may not use WhatsApp, in particular due to metadata which WhatsApp receives on the users involved.

A copy of the circular letter can be viewed here.

If you have any questions, please address the BEITEN BURKHARDT lawyer of your choice or contact the BEITEN BURKHARDT Privacy Team directly:

MUNICH



Dr Axel von Walter
Lawyer | CIPP/E | CIPM | Licensed Specialist
for Copyright and Media Law | Licensed
Specialist for Information Technology Law
Axel.Walter@bblaw.com
Tel.: +49 89 35065-1321



Dr Johannes Baumann Lawyer Johannes.Baumann@bblaw.com Tel.: +49 89 35065-1307



Gudrun Hausner Lawyer Gudrun.Hausner@bblaw.com Tel.: +49 89 35065-1307



Laureen Lee Lawyer | LL.M. Laureen.Lee@bblaw.com Tel.: +49 89 35065-1307

FRANKFURT AM MAIN



Dr Andreas Lober Lawyer Andreas.Lober@bblaw.com Tel.: +49 69 756095-582



Peter Tzschentke Lawyer Peter.Tzschentke@bblaw.com Tel.: +49 69 756095-582



Susanne Klein
Lawyer | LL.M.
Licensed Specialist
for Information Technology Law
Susanne.Klein@bblaw.com
Tel.: +49 69 756095-582



Lennart Kriebel Lawyer Lennart.Kriebel@bblaw.com Tel.: +49 69 756095-477

BERLIN



Dr Matthias Schote Lawyer I Licensed Specialist for Copyright and Media Law Matthias.Schote@bblaw.com Tel.: +49 30 26471-280





Mathias Zimmer-Goertz Lawyer Mathias.Zimmer-Goertz@bblaw.com Tel.: +49 211 518989-144

Imprint

This publication is issued by

BEITEN BURKHARDTRechtsanwaltsgesellschaft mbH

Ganghoferstrasse 33 | D-80339 Munich Registered under HR B 155350 at the Regional Court

Munich/VAT Reg. No.: DE811218811

For more information see: https://www.beiten-burkhardt.com/en/imprint

EDITOR IN CHARGE

Dr Andreas Lober | Lawyer | Partner

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{O}}$ BEITEN BURKHARDT Rechtsanwaltsgesellschaft mbH. All rights reserved 2020.

PLEASE NOTE

This publication cannot replace consultation with a trained legal professional.

If you no longer wish to receive this newsletter, you can unsubscribe at any time by e-mail (please send an e-mail with the heading "Unsubscribe" to newsletter@bblaw.com) or any other declaration made to BEITEN BURKHARDT.

BEIJING | BERLIN | BRUSSELS | DUSSELDORF | FRANKFURT AM MAIN HAMBURG | MOSCOW | MUNICH | ST. PETERSBURG